

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN METACOGNITIVE-  
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES IN LISTENING AND EFL  
LEARNERS' LISTENING COMPREHENSION**

*SKRIPSI*



by  
Ira Luik  
Student Number: 81160008

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND HUMANITIES  
UNIVERSITAS KRISTEN DUTA WACANA  
YOGYAKARTA  
2020**

**HALAMAN PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI**  
**SKRIPSI/TESIS/DISERTASI UNTUK KEPENTINGAN AKADEMIS**

Sebagai sivitas akademika Universitas Kristen Duta Wacana, saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama : Ira Luik  
NIM : 81160008  
Program studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Fakultas : Fakultas Kependidikan dan Humaniora  
Jenis Karya : Skripsi

demi pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan, menyetujui untuk memberikan kepada Universitas Kristen Duta Wacana **Hak Bebas Royalti Noneksklusif** (*None-exclusive Royalty Free Right*) atas karya ilmiah saya yang berjudul:

**“THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN METACOGNITIVE-COGNITIVE STRATEGIES IN LISTENING AND EFL LEARNERS’ LISTENING COMPREHENSION”**

beserta perangkat yang ada (jika diperlukan). Dengan Hak Bebas Royalti/Noneksklusif ini Universitas Kristen Duta Wacana berhak menyimpan, mengalih media/formatkan, mengelola dalam bentuk pangkalan data (*database*), merawat dan mempublikasikan tugas akhir saya selama tetap mencantumkan nama kami sebagai penulis/pencipta dan sebagai pemilik Hak Cipta.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sebenarnya.

Dibuat di : Yogyakarta  
Pada Tanggal : 14 Juli 2020

Yang menyatakan



(Ira Luik)  
NIM.81160008

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN METACOGNITIVE –  
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES IN LISTENING AND EFL  
LEARNERS’ LISTENING COMPREHENSION**

***SKRIPSI***

Presented as partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of *Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd.)*  
in English Language Education Department

by

Ira Luik

Student Number: 81160008

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND HUMANITIES  
UNIVERSITAS KRISTEN DUTA WACANA  
YOGYAKARTA  
2020**

## ADVISOR APPROVAL PAGE

The *Skripsi* written by

Name : Ira Luik

NIM : 81160008

Title : The Relationship between Metacognitive – Cognitive Strategies in  
Listening and EFL Learners' Listening Comprehension

has been approved for the *Skripsi* Defense.

Yogyakarta, June 1, 2020

Advisor,



Paulus Widiatmoko, M.A

NIDN: 0518117701

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN METACOGNITIVE –  
COGNITIVE STRATEGIES IN LISTENING AND EFL  
LEARNERS’ LISTENING COMPREHENSION**

by

Ira Luik

Student Number: 81160008

**Defended before the Board of Examiners**

**on June 29, 2020**

**and Declared Acceptable**

Chairperson : Adaninggar Septi Subekti, M.Sc.



Examiner I : Paulus Widiatmoko, M.A.



Examiner 2 : Ignatius Tri Endarto, M.A.



Yogyakarta, 15 July 2020

The head of the English Language Education Department



Lemmuela Alvita Kurniawati, M.Hum.

## STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

I honestly declare that this *Skripsi* does not contain the work or parts of the work of other people, except those cited in the quotations and the references.

Yogyakarta, June 1, 2020

Author



Ira Luik

81160008

## Acknowledgement

Foremost, I sincerely give my gratitude to Jesus Christ for His grace and my internal family: My mother Sarah Luik and also my four brothers who have mentally, spiritually, and physically supported throughout my college live. I could not have done this *Skripsi* without the contributions of your supporting.

My sincere thanks also goes to my advisor, Paulus Widiatmoko, M.A. who has supported and motivated me patiently in doing this *Skripsi*. For his guidance throughout the offline and online processes, I am able to accomplish my *Skripsi* in the right time.

I would also like to express my gratitude towards every lecture especially for Adaninggar Septi Subekti, M.S.c. who has given me her knowledge on writing skills throughout the semesters. Also Andreas Winardi, M.A. and staff in English Language Education Department who has helped and assisted me during the process of data gathering. Thank you for all of your contributions.

To all of my fellow classmates, especially Maximeliana Masela, Jeane Limeranto, Natalia, Leona Nine, and Billy Siray who have patiently listened to my problems and given solutions. For all of your suggestions and supports, I am motivated to work on my *Skripsi*.

## Table of Content

<b>Inner Cover</b> .....	i
<b>Advisor Approval Page</b> .....	ii
<b>Skripsi Defense Approval Page</b> .....	iii
<b>Statement of Originality</b> .....	iv
<b>Acknowledgement</b> .....	v
<b>Table of Content</b> .....	vi
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	viii
<b>List of Figure</b> .....	ix
<b>Abstract</b> .....	x
<b>Intisari</b> .....	xi
<b>CHAPTER I</b> .....	1
1. Research background.....	1
2. Research questions .....	4
3. Research objectives .....	4
4. Research benefits.....	4
<b>CHAPTER II</b> .....	6
1. The role of listening.....	6
2. Processes and strategies of listening comprehension .....	6
3. Previous study of listening strategies and listening comprehension.....	10
<b>CHAPTER III</b> .....	12
1. Research design.....	12
3. Research instruments .....	13
4. Data collection and data analysis .....	13



5. Ethical considerations .....	14
6. Sequences of data collection and analysis .....	15
<b>CHAPTER IV</b> .....	16
Research question 1: To what extent do the EFL learners use metacognitive strategies Comprehension of Long Talk class? .....	16
Research question 2: To what extent do the EFL learners use cognitive strategies Comprehension of Long Talk class? .....	20
Research question 3: What is the relationship between the use of metacognitive strategies and ELED students' listening comprehension as measured by students' score?.....	24
Research question 4: What is the relationship between the use of cognitive strategies and ELED students' listening comprehension as measured by students' score? .....	26
<b>CHAPTER V</b> .....	29
1. Summary .....	29
2. Implications and contributions .....	30
3. Limitations .....	31
4. Future studies .....	31
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	32
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	38
APPENDIX 1.....	39
APPENDIX 2.....	43

### **List of Tables**

Table 1: Vandergrift's (2003) Taxonomy of Cognitive strategies .....	9
Table 2: The Means of Participants' responses on the Use of Metacognitive strategies.....	17
Table 3: The Means of Participants' responses on the use of Cognitive strategies .....	20
Table 4: Correlation between the Use of Metacognitive Strategies and ELED Students' Listening Comprehension.....	25
Table 5: Correlation between the Use of Cognitive Strategies and ELED Students' Listening Comprehension .....	27

©UKDWN

**List of Figure**

Figure 1: Sequences of Data Collection and Analysis..... 15

©UKDWN

## Abstract

Luik, I. (2020). *The Relationship Between Metacognitive – Cognitive Strategies in Listening and EFL Learners' Listening Comprehension* (unpublished Undergraduate Thesis). Universitas Kristen Duta Wacana, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

The nature of listening skill has been widely acknowledged as the first way of learning a language, particularly English. Among the four areas of English skills, listening plays as the most important skill to be mastered. Since listening activity seemed to be neglected in foreign language teaching, English Foreign Language Learners (EFL) learners perceived listening as their biggest challenge due to the lack of listening comprehension. Researchers in this field have investigated how intensively EFL learners employ metacognitive-cognitive strategies in listening and whether or not there is correlation with their listening achievement. Due to the limited amount of related research conducted in Indonesia, this quantitative study was designed to find the frequency use of these strategies in listening employed by Indonesian EFL learners at university levels and find whether there was a correlation between these strategies and their listening achievements in Comprehension of Long Talk class. Fifty-four participants from four generations of English Language Education Department students participated in this study by completing the listening strategies questionnaire. Descriptive and correlation analysis were further applied. The results indicated that cognitive strategies were less frequently used instead of metacognitive strategies and there was no correlation between the use of cognitive strategies and the participants' listening comprehension at the level,  $p > 0.05$ . Meanwhile, there was a positive weak correlation between metacognitive strategies and the participants' listening performance. Despite the facilitation of both strategies could help the EFL learners throughout listening, several listening problems could also appear as the obstacles throughout the skill practice.

*Keywords: Listening, EFL learners, Metacognitive Strategies, Cognitive strategies*

## ***Intisari***

Luik, I. (2020). *The Relationship Between Metacognitive – Cognitive Strategies on Listening and EFL Learners' Listening Comprehension* (unpublished Undergraduate Thesis). Universitas Kristen Duta Wacana, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

*Keterampilan menyimak telah diakui secara luas sebagai cara pertama belajar bahasa, khususnya bahasa Inggris. Diantara keempat bidang keterampilan bahasa Inggris, menyimak berperan sebagai keterampilan yang paling penting untuk dikuasai. Karena aktivitas ini tampaknya diabaikan dalam pengajaran bahasa asing, pembelajar Bahasa Inggris sebagai bahasa asing menganggap aktivitas ini sebagai tantangan terbesar mereka karena kurangnya pemahaman menyimak. Para peneliti dibidang ini telah menyelidiki seberapa intensif pelajar Bahasa Inggris menggunakan strategi metakognitif-kognitif dalam aktivitas menyimak dan apakah ada korelasi dengan prestasi menyimak mereka. Karena keterbatasan jumlah penelitian terkait yang sedikit di Indonesia, penelitian kuantitatif ini dirancang untuk menemukan frekuensi penggunaan strategi menyimak metakognitif-kognitif yang digunakan oleh peserta didik Indonesia di tingkat universitas dan mencari tahu apakah ada korelasi antara strategi-strategi ini dengan prestasi menyimak mereka di kelas Comprehension of Long Talk. Lima puluh empat peserta dari empat generasi mahasiswa Jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris berpartisipasi dalam penelitian ini dengan mengisi kuesioner strategi menyimak. Analisis deskriptif dan korelasi selanjutnya diterapkan. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa strategi kognitif jarang digunakan daripada strategi metakognitif dan tidak ada korelasi antara kognitif strategi dan pemahaman menyimak peserta di tingkat,  $p > 0,05$ . Sementara itu ada korelasi positif yang lemah antara strategi metakognitif dan kinerja menyimak peserta. Meskipun fasilitas kedua strategi dapat membantu pembelajar Bahasa Inggris sebagai Bahasa asing pembelajar Bahasa Inggris, beberapa masalah menyimak juga dapat muncul sebagai hambatan selama menyimak.*

*Kata kunci: Menyimak, Pembelajaran Bahasa Inggris Sebagai Bahasa Asing, Strategi Metakognitif, Strategi Kognitif*

©UKDW

## **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

This chapter demonstrates the general background and the rationale of this study. It specifically consists of research background, research questions, research objectives, and research benefits.

#### **1. Research background**

In the process of learning a foreign language, it is a must for English foreign language (EFL) learners to master four basic skills of language such as listening, speaking, reading, and writing. As the first way of learning a language, listening skill plays as an essential role and is considered as the foremost skill to be mastered in second language acquisition (Liu, 2008; Thompson & Rubin, 1996; Vandergrift & Goh, 2012). Meanwhile, the knowledge of this skill is still limited because it seems to be neglected in English teaching and teachers do not teach EFL learners how to learn listening effectively (Vandergrift, 2007; Widiati & Cahyono, 2009). However, listening skill is considered as hard to be taught because it is a passive activity (Vandergrift, 1999).

As discussed above, Vandergrift (1999) further explained that listening is categorized as a complex activity, in which the EFL students have to differentiate the pronunciation of each vocabulary, grammatical structures, and intonation. If most of them are non-native speakers, there seems to be an assumption that listening is hard, specifically in decoding the talk. As has been exemplified, EFL learners tend to find difficulties in understanding native speakers' conversation (Krashen, Terrell, Ehrman, & Herzog, 1984). For instance, Flowerdew and Miller (2005) admitted that "big and pig differ only in their initial sounds, /b/ and /p/" (p. 30). Second, Field (2004) also mentioned that most EFL listeners mishear the sentence between "I won't go to London as I want to go to London" (p. 364). When it comes to a conversation between native speakers and EFL learners, reaching and catching the native speakers' sounds immediately are hard for them as they do not know how

to distinguish between those two words and they might also lose the phonetic details (Chien & Wei, 1998). Within the quick conversation of the speakers, it leads the EFL learners to notice the stress that brings important information (Vandergrift, 2006). These factors are identified as listening problems that affect effective listening performances.

In relation to the problems of listening, it is unsurprising that EFL learners perceive it as a challenge due to the use of authentic materials and speakers (Hapsari & Ratri, 2014). They further added that these factors presented a challenge for the EFL learners to comprehend the listening; therefore, they hardly caught the key message. As exemplified in Renandya and Farrell's (2010) study, Chinese EFL learners could not catch the words due to the speed of the listening audio and the authenticity of the native speakers' talk. It seems natural for them to simply modify the sounds of certain words, while the EFL learners still need to put much effort in decoding the sounds.

For the past few decades, there have been a great number of studies investigating the use of listening strategies and its impacts toward EFL learners' listening comprehension in different contexts (Zuhairi & Hidayanti, 2016). First, a study done by Thompson and Rubin (1996) in Russian EFL learners context showed the implementation of cognitive and metacognitive strategies were successfully increasing students' listening comprehension. Then, it was followed by Bidabadi and Yamat (2011) who also investigated the utilization of cognitive strategies and metacognitive strategies in EFL freshman of Iran. The correlation analysis could show that those listening strategies bring positive impacts to the participants' listening comprehension. Recently, Bozorgian and Pillay (2013) have also examined Iranian context about the relationship between the use of listening strategies such as guessing, making inferences, repetition, identifying topic and note-taking and EFL learners' achievement. The result showed that these strategies are successful in enhancing EFL learners' listening comprehension (Bozorgian & Pillay, 2013). As could be seen from the previous studies, it can be concluded that



the use of listening strategies is effective to improve EFL learners' listening comprehension.

In specific, empirical studies in Indonesian contexts toward the correlation between listening strategies and students' listening comprehension have also been conducted (Ratnaningsih, 2015; Yulisa, 2018; Zuhairi & Hidayanti, 2016). For instance, Yulisa's (2018) study revealed that there was a significant relationship between listening strategies and students' achievement on listening comprehension in senior high school context. Likewise, Zuhairi and Hidayanti (2016) found out in junior high school context which demonstrated that cognitive strategies and metacognitive listening strategies have successfully provided positive impacts on the students' listening comprehension. In specific to university context, a study by Ratnaningsih (2015) revealed that the use of metacognitive and cognitive strategies had no relationship with the EFL learners' listening comprehension. As can be inferred from the previous studies in Indonesian contexts, quantitative methods are widely used.

Turning now to this current study, it investigated the use of cognitive and metacognitive strategies along with the correlation of each strategy and students' listening comprehension. This study further involved university EFL learners Indonesia because they do not use English as their first language and when they communicate with native speakers, they mostly give 45% of their effort in listening compared to other skills (Huy, 2015). In addition, the EFL learners are also demanded to master English skills, specifically listening (Liu, 2008). Hence, in order to know their strategies use in listening, this study employed quantitative method because it is widely used to gather and measure the data of listening strategies, (e.g.: Bidabadi & Yamat, 2011; Bozorgian & Pillay, 2013; Nurhidayah & Ma'mun, 2016; Thompson & Rubin, 1996; Yulisa, 2018; Zuhairi & Hidayanti, 2016).

## **2. Research questions**

The main purposes of this study includes:

1. To what extent do English Language Education Department (ELED) students use metacognitive strategies in Comprehension of Long Talk class?
2. To what extent do ELED students use cognitive strategies in Comprehension of Long Talk class?
3. What is the relationship between the use of metacognitive strategies and ELED students' listening comprehension as measured by students' score?
4. What is the relationship between the use of cognitive strategies and ELED students' listening comprehension as measured by students' score?

## **3. Research objectives**

This study aims to examine the use of cognitive-metacognitive strategies and how they correlate with ELED students' listening comprehension. Specifically, this study intends to:

1. Investigate the extent to which ELED students use metacognitive strategies in Comprehension of Long Talk class
2. Investigate the extent to which ELED students use cognitive strategies in Comprehension of Long Talk class
3. Investigate the relationship between the use of metacognitive strategies and ELED students' listening comprehension as measured by students' score
4. Investigate the relationship between the use of cognitive strategies and ELED students' listening comprehension as measured by students' score

## **4. Research benefits**

As Vandergrift (2003) stated that "knowledge about listening comprehension strategies is still limited" (p. 470), the finding of this research possibly bring benefits for:

1. Students

Through investigating the features of cognitive strategies and metacognitive strategies and the problems of students' listening comprehension, hopefully, the students are expected to be aware of the use of these two strategies in the listening process.

2. Teachers/Lecturers

This study is proposed to the use of listening strategies in teaching foreign languages. Besides the students, teachers may also apply these strategies in teaching listening.

3. Other researchers

This study is also aimed for the other researchers to investigate more about listening comprehension in Indonesian university context using quantitative methods. This method in specific can be employed to gain data in numerical forms which not be influenced by personal opinions and the results may be generalized in this context (Creswell, 2014).

## **CHAPTER V**

### **CONCLUSION**

The following chapter contains a brief summary of the results, implications, and contributions, along with future studies. The explanations of each point can be further seen in these following paragraphs.

#### **1. Summary**

This study was designed to find the frequency use of metacognitive-cognitive listening strategies employed by Indonesian EFL learners' context at university levels and find out whether there was a correlation between these strategies and their listening achievements. The results have identified metacognitive strategies were often employed rather than cognitive strategies and there was no correlation between the use of cognitive strategies with their listening achievements. Meanwhile, it was found that there was a positive weak correlation between the use of metacognitive strategies and the participants' listening performance. Specifically, the participants of this study mostly implemented metacognitive strategies in the while listening phase and the pre-listening phase instead of the post listening phase. Moreover, the cognitive strategies categories namely inferencing, elaboration, listening for details, and prediction were often applied by the participants compared to translation and summarization or widely known as note-taking.

Both of those strategies in general have its advantages in facilitating listening comprehension, as long as the EFL learners can use it properly. Combining three sequence actions of metacognitive strategies will be curtailed without the contributions of specific appropriate cognitive strategies vice versa (Vandergrift, 1999). However, in responding to the various levels participants' listening proficiency, they might find some obstacles to apply cognitive strategies related to word recognition and the native speakers' way of talking. Due to the limitation of time and resources, this study might not have managed to minimize the potential problems of data gathering procedures.

## **2. Implications and contributions**

Based on the results, this study has some implications to be noted in regard to the teaching listening skill. As has been mentioned, it is the teachers' role to facilitate the learners in their teaching (Bidabadi & Yamat, 2011). Due to the fact that listening skills seemed to be neglected in English teaching, those results can suggest teachers introduce listening strategies to the EFL learners and encourage them to utilize those strategies based on their need. Within the relatively small use of the evaluation phase after listening, the EFL learners should be taught and given more listening strategies training, specifically in employing metacognitive strategies sequentially to achieve a better listening performance. In doing so, they will be able to reflect or evaluate their strategies throughout the listening process. Besides, they can also be taught to combine specific cognitive strategies based on their listening task needs.

Since cognitive strategies were not frequent to be used by the EFL learners, it can be suggested that teachers should accommodate this strategy so they are able to use it frequently. As could be inferred on the items number 28 and 32 in Table 3, translation and summarization were slightly employed by the participants as they have to keep up with the listening. Concerning this, they have to write specific aspects and translate the utterances at the same time. Hence, it is important for the EFL learners to be trained how to choose which keywords that can improve their listening comprehension, thus, it will not take much time. Meanwhile, the most frequent use of cognitive strategies namely inferencing, listening for details, elaboration, and prediction are intended for the EFL learners to keep use those strategies in enhancing their listening achievements.

Besides, there are also some contributions of this present study. In relation to the result, this study can give a new perspective that employing metacognitive-cognitive strategies in listening does not always demonstrate the scores obtained in class. Aside from it, this study is also aimed for the other researchers. It may help

them to investigate more about listening comprehension in other contexts, specifically in Indonesia university area.

### **3. Limitations**

Notwithstanding producing several useful findings, some limitations could also be acknowledged. Firstly, the period of data collection was held in a short time. Gaining all of the participants' listening scores was the target of this study, however, in an attempt to keep the number of the participants and catch up with the time allocation of data gathering, this study minimized their listening test scores until midterm test grades. The second limitation that seemingly appeared was the gap of the participants' generations. Within these various years of students, they probably have forgotten their listening strategies back in their class. Considering those factors, the results cannot be generalized. Lastly, given the short listening audio as the reminder of listening strategies and distributed questionnaires to the participants may not be sufficient to capture their dynamic and process toward their listening strategies throughout the class.

### **4. Future studies**

Having conducted this present study, several points could be suggested for future researchers. Concerning the limitation of time and number of the participants, it is suggested to gather the data in more periods with a big number of populations along with the near distance of their strategies training and generations. Moreover, unlike the quantitative methods, the use of qualitative methods are also suggested to conduct research in the listening field. Although the students' listening grades are mostly defined as their level of success in listening proficiency, it does not capture the process on how they interpret their own strategies in real situations. Therefore, the combination of both strategies might be suggested to the future researchers by collecting the EFL learners' reflection diaries of listening activities and doing interviews with both teacher and learners to investigate the process throughout the class.

## REFERENCES

- Bidabadi, F. S., & Yamat, H. (2011). The relationship between listening strategies used by Iranian EFL freshman university students and their listening proficiency levels. *English Language Teaching*, 4(1), 26–32.
- Bozorgian, H., & Pillay, H. (2013). Enhancing foreign language learning through listening delivered in L1: An experimental study strategies. *International Journal of Instruction*, 6(1), 105–122.
- Brown, S. (2006). *Teaching listening*. Cambridge University Press.
- Buck, G. (2001). *Assessing listening*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chen, A. (2010). Effects of listening strategy training for EFL adult listeners. *Spring*, 7(1), 135–169.
- Chen, A. (2013). EFL Listeners' strategy development and listening problems: a process-based study. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*, 10(3), 81–101.
- Chien, C., & Wei. L. (1998). The strategy use in listening comprehension for EFL learners in Taiwan. *RELC Journal*, 29(1), 66–91.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (Second). Routledge.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education*. Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design*. Sage Publication.
- Dixon, J. C., Singleton, R. A., & Straits, B. C. (2016). *The process of social research*. Oxford University Press.
- Dorney, Z. (2007). *Research methods in applied linguistics*. Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (1994). *The study of second language acquisition*.
- Field, J. (2004). An insight into listeners' problems: Too much bottom-up or too much top-down? *System*, 32(2004), 363–377.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2004.05.002>

- Flowerdew, J., & Miller, L. (2005). *Second language listening*. Cambridge University Press.
- Fung, D., & Macaro, E. (2019). Exploring the relationship between linguistic knowledge and strategy use in listening comprehension. *Language Teaching Research*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168819868879>
- Gilakjani, A. P., & Ahmadi, M. R. (2011). A study of factors affecting EFL learners' English listening comprehension and the strategies for improvement. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2(5), 977–988. <https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.2.5.977-988>
- Goh, C. (1997). Metacognitive awareness and second language listeners. *ELT Journal*, 51(4), 361–369.
- Goh, C. C. M. (1998). How ESL learners with different listening abilities use comprehension strategies and tactics. *Language Teaching Research*, 2(2), 124–147. <https://doi.org/10.1177/136216889800200203>
- Goh, C. C. M. (2000). A cognitive perspective on language learners' listening comprehension problems. *System*, 28(1), 55–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(99\)00060-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(99)00060-3)
- Goh, C. C. M. (2002a). Exploring listening comprehension tactics and their interaction patterns. In *System* (Vol. 30, Issue 2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(02\)00004-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(02)00004-0)
- Goh, C. C. M. (2002b). Exploring listening comprehension tactics and their interaction patterns. *System*, 30(2002), 185–206.
- Graham, S. (2006). Listening comprehension: The learners' perspective. *System*, 34(2), 165–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2005.11.001>
- Graham, S., & Santos, D. (2015). *Strategies for second language listening* (First). Palgrave Macmillan.



- Hapsari, Y., & Ratri, D. P. (2014). Extensive listening : Let students experience learning. *Bahasa & Sastra*, 14(2), 251–261.
- Hasan, A. (2000). Learners' perceptions of listening comprehension problems. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 13(2), 137–153.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07908310008666595>
- Hosogoshi, K. (2016). Effects of captions and subtitles on the listening process: Insights from EFL learners' listening strategies. *JALT CALL Journal*, 12(3), 153–178.
- Huy, L. H. T. (2015). An Investigation into listening strategies of EFL students within the High School setting. *Asian Journal of Educational Research*, 3(4), 21–34. [www.multidisciplinaryjournals.com](http://www.multidisciplinaryjournals.com)
- Israel, M., & Hay, L. (2006). *The relationship between listening strategies used by Egyptian EFL college sophomores and their listening comprehension and self-efficacy* (First). Sage Publication.
- Krashen, S. D., Terrell, T. D., Ehrman, M. E., & Herzog, M. (1984). A theoretical basis for teaching the receptive skills. *Foreign Language Annals*, 17(4), 261–275. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.1984.tb03226.x>
- Kurita, T. (2012). Issues in second language listening comprehension and the pedagogical implications. *Accents Asia*, 5(1), 30–44. <http://accentsasia.org/5-1/kurita.pdf>  
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.473.3299&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Liu, H.-J. (2008). A study of the interrelationship between listening strategy use, listening proficiency levels, and learning style. *Aracels*, 5, 84–104.
- Mohseny, A., & Raeisi, N. (2009). The relationship between language proficiency of EFL students their strategy use in listening comprehension. *Journal of Teaching English as a Foreign Language and Literature*, 1(4), 111–132.
- Nurhidayah, I., & Ma'mun, N. (2016). Improving listening skill of students using

- metacognitive instruction. *IJET (Indonesian Journal of English Teaching)*, 5(2), 255–268. <https://doi.org/10.15642/ijet.2016.5.2.255-268>
- Postovsky, V. A. (1975). On paradoxes in foreign language teaching. *The Modern Language Journal*, 59(1/2), 18–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1975.tb03620.x>
- Ratnaningsih, D. (2015). The effects of cognitive and metacognitive listening strategies on students' English listening comprehension in a Merchant Marine Polytechnic. *Magister Scientiae*, 37, 15–28.
- Renandya, W. A., & Farrell, T. S. (2010). “Teacher, the tape is too fast!” Extensive listening in ELT. *ELT Journal*, 65(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccq015>
- Richards, J. C. (2008). *Teaching listening and speaking*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rost, M. (2002). *Teaching and researching listening*. Pearson Education Limited.
- Rubin, J. (1994). A Review of second language listening research. *The Modern Language Journal*, 78(2), 199–221.
- Shahrokhi, M., Malekian, P., & Sayedi, S. B. (2015). Listening comprehension ability and the use of listening strategies by Iranian pre-intermediate EFL learners. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, 2(5), 231–241.
- Thompson, I., & Rubin, J. (1996). Can strategy instruction improve listening comprehension? *Foreign Language Annals*, 29(3), 331–342.
- Vandergrift, L. (1997). The comprehension strategies of second language (French) listeners: A Descriptive Study. *Foreign Language Annals*, 30(3), 387–409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.1997.tb02362.x>
- Vandergrift, L. (1999). Facilitating second language listening comprehension: Acquiring successful strategies. *ELT Journal*, 53(3), 168–176.

- Vandergrift, L. (2003). Orchestrating strategy use: Towards a model of the skilled L2 listener. *Language Learning*, 53(3), 461–494.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.00232>
- Vandergrift, L. (2006). Second language listening: Listening ability or language proficiency? *The Modern Language Journal*, 90(i), 6–18.
- Vandergrift, L. (2007). Recent developments in second and foreign language listening comprehension research. *Language Teaching*, 40(3), 191–210.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444807004338>
- Vandergrift, L., & Baker, S. B. (2018). Learner variables important for success in L2 listening comprehension in French immersion classrooms. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 74(1), 79–100. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.3906>
- Vandergrift, L., & Cross, J. (2018). Cognitive listening strategies. *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching*, 1–6.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118784235.eelt0582>
- Vandergrift, L., & Goh, C. C. (2012). *Teaching and learning second language listening*. Routledge.
- Vandergrift, L., & Tafaghodtari, M. (2010). Teaching L2 learners how to listen does make a difference: An Empirical Study. *Language Learning*, 60(2), 470–497. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2009.00559.x>
- Widiati, U., & Cahyono, B. Y. (2009). The teaching of EFL writing in the Indonesian context : The State of the Art. *TEFLIN Journal*, 20(2), 194–211.
- Yang, C. (2009). A Study of metacognitive strategies in web-based English autonomous learning. *International Congerence on Management and Education Innovation*, 37(4), 66–69.  
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=sit e&authtype=crawler&jrnl=20104626&AN=83457590&h=8iqp06SLDmxVt7 upUUVDENW2ASFp6zffWGnQ1AwqJMa9u4Wv6fc3IqBx50Q8mMPuH+spNb94UyBuqvmu2PYmJg==&crl=c>

- Yang, Chunmei. (2009). A study of metacognitive strategies employed by English listeners in an EFL setting. *International Education Studies*, 2(4), 134–139. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v2n4p134>
- Yang, W., & Dai, W. (2011). Rote memorization of vocabulary and vocabulary development. *English Language Teaching*, 4(4), 61–64. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v4n4p61>
- Yulisa, D. (2018). Learning to listen: Listening strategies and listening comprehension of Islamic Senior High School students. *Edukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran*, 5(1), 22–30.
- Zuhairi, A., & Hidayanti, I. (2016). The strategies of Indonesian Junior High School students in learning listening skill. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 7(4), 117–124.

©UKYDIN